1 2 3 4	Mark E. Ellis – 127159 Andrew M. Steinheimer - 200524 Amanda N. Griffith - 288164 ELLIS LAW GROUP, LLP 740 University Avenue, Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95825 Tel: (916) 283-8820 Fax: (916) 283-8821				
5	Attorneys for Defendant,				
6	DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT SERVICE, INC.				
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JOHN E. SUTPHEN,	Case No.: 16CV2402 BEN JMA			
12	Plaintiff,	San Diego County Case No. 37-2016-00027505-CL-NP-CTL			
13 14 15 16	v. DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT SERVICE, INC., DOES 1-10 inclusive, Defendants.	DEFENDANT DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT SERVICE, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT			
17 18 19	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT				
20	SERVICE, INC. hereby removes to this Co	ourt the state court action described below:			
21	1. On, August 11, 2016, an action	was commenced in Superior Court, State of			
22	California, County of San Diego, entitled JOHN E. SUTPHEN, Plaintiff, v.				
23	DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT SERVICE, INC., Defendant, as case number 37-				
24	2016-00027505-CL-NP-CTL.				
25	2. On or about August 25, 2016,	DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT SERVICE,			
26	INC., was served with the Summons and Co	omplaint, attached hereto as Exhibit A.			
27	3. This Court has jurisdiction to hear this case because this action is a civil				
28	action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and is one -1-				
		WOR THE 12 NOTICE OF BEING THE 12			

which may be removed to this Court by defendant pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) in that it arises under the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.). Dated: September 23, 2016 ELLIS LAW GROUP, LLP By /s/Amanda N. Griffith Attorney for Defendant, DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT SERVICE, INC. - 2 -

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

SUM-100 CEH MOIGHANNEONEN CONTO

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT SERVICE, INC.: DOES 1-10 inclusive

2016 AUG | | AM 17: 47

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

JOHN E. SUTPHEN

सिक्षेत्र राजवित्र मध्येत SAN DIEGO SCHNTY. CA

NOTICE! You have been sued. The count may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS ofter this stammons and legal papers are served on you to fee a value response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to heer your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information of the Celifornia Courts
Online Self-Heip Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfheip), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filling fee, sale the court clerk for a los waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are ethat legal requirements. You may want to call an atterney right eway. If you do not know an atterney, you may want to call an atterney referred service. If you commot efford an etterney, you may be eligible for the legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.tawhelp-sidemia.org), the California Courte Online Self-Help Center (twint courting a power timely), or by contecting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for withher less and costs on any settlement or erollintion eward of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lies must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. pavisioi La ban demendado. Si no respondo deniro de 30 dies, is conte puede decidir en su contre sin escucher su versión. Les is información e continueción.

Tione 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después do que le entreguen este citadón y pepalas legales para procenter una respuesta por escrito en este corto y hacer que se entregua una copia al demandanta. Una corto y hacer que se entregua una copia al demandanta. Una corto o una llamada telefonica no lo prolegion. Su respuesta por escrito tiana que ester on formato legal correcto di docue que procesar su caso en la corto. Es posible que haya un formulario que ustad puede usur pere su respuesta. Puede procritar estas formularlos de le corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.excorte.ca.gov), en la biblioleos de leyes de su condeto o en la corte que la queda más cerca. Si no pueda pagar la cuala de presentación, pida el tecretario de la corte que lo dé un formulario de exençión de pago do cuales. Si no presante su respueste e tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiente y la conte le podrá guitar su sueldo, dinera y bienas sin más adventancia.

Hay otros regulatios legallas. Es recomendable que flama e un abegado lumádiatemente. Si no conoce e un abegado, puede flamar a un servido de remisión a abegados. Si no puede pegar a un abegado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos para obtenar acreidos legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales cin finas de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin finas de lucro en el cido web de California Legal Servicios. (www.lawhelpcelifornia.org), an al Centro de Ayuda de las Corias da Celliamia, (www.succris.cs.gov) o paniéndose en carinada con la corto p el colegio de abogados loculas. AVISO: Por ley, la coste liena derecho a reclassa las custas y los costos exentos por Imponer un gravamen coare cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor reclaida mediante un acuerdo o una concosión de embargo en un caso de derecho civil. Hene que pagar el gravamen de la corte entes de que la corte puede desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:	·	
(El nombre y dirección do la corte es):	Superior Court of San D	riego

CASE MINGER: p 34 Care):

37-2016-00027505-CL-NP-CTL

330 West Broadway,

San Diego, CA 92101

The mame, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an atterney, is:
(El nombro, la dirección y el número de teléfono del ebogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiana abegado, es): Todd M. Friedman, 21550 Oxnard St., Suite 780 Woodland Hills, CA 91367, 877-206-4741

DATE: AUG 1 1 2016	Clerk, by (Secreterio)	J. Jones	, Daputy (Ac)unto,
(Para prueba da entrega de esta citalida	ise Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) In use at formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (P	03-010)).	
[SFA] 1.	E TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served as an individual defendent. so the person sued under the licitious name of (s	pecify):	
3 🖾	on behalf of (specify): Diversified Ad	justment Servic	se, Inc.
und	ler: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defund corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or portnarrahlp)	CCP 416.60 (minor CCP 415.70 (conse	ervatee)
4.	other (specify): by personal delivery on (dete):		Paro 1 et
Form Adams to thendams thes	SILMINONS	Code of Chill I	Procesture BR 412 20 450

Justin Cours of California SUBL-100 Francischy 1, 2009

COPY

		CM-0
ATIONEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY PURE 5228 BW / Todd M. Friedman, Esq. SBN 216752	भाग्येहर, क्ष्मुचे अनेक्षण इंड्रो	FOR COURT USE ORLY
Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman		12.3%制造数据15t z
21550 Oxnard St., Suite 780		ROTERTHAL DEPENDE
Woodland Hills, CA 91367		*
теленоке кал. 877-206-4741	FAX HO. 866-633-0228	2616 AUG 11 AM 11: 4.7
ATTORNEY FOR PLANTE Plaintiffs, JOHN E. S.	UTPHEN	7616 AUG 11 AM IP: 4.7
UPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sa	n Diego	•
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway,	_	ことはいるURENGA Leiste
whiche Address: 330 West Broadway,		SAH DIEGO COURTY, CA
CETY AND ZIF CODE: San Diego, CA 92101		
ERWICHBURG Central Courthouse		
CASE NAME:		
JOHN E. SUTPHEN v. DIVERSIPII	D ADHISTMENT SERVICE T	NC
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		CASENIMEER
	Complex Case Designation	37-2016-00027505-CL-NP-CTL
Untimited Limited	Counter Joinder	
IncomA) InscmA)		. RIDGE
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or (ess)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	
	w must be completed (see instructions	cn peç <u>é 2).</u>
. Check one box below for the case type that		
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (05)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-5.403)
Uninsured motorist (45)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PPPDAYD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (00)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tork	Insuranço coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Aubenios (84)	,	, · ·
Product (ability (24)	Other contract (37)	Socurities Etigation (28)
and described	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic ton (30)
Modical malpractice (45)	Eminent domzin/inverse	insurance coverage daims arising from the
Other PUPDAVD (23)	concernation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Non-PilPDMD (Other) Tork	Várongful eviction (33)	• • •
Business torbunfair business practice (07)	Citier real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Cetainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defermation (13)	Commercial (31)	Macellaneous Civil Complaint
4	Residential (32)	
Fraud (16)		RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Protousional negligenco (25)		Miscellaneous Civil Patition
Other non-PVPDMO tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Pertnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Olhe: petition (not specified above) (43)
Vvrengřul termination (35)	Whit of mandate (02)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
This case is is is included managed a. Large number of soparately represent by Extensive motion practice raising dissues that will be time-consuming.	ement: ented parties d. Large numbe ifficult or novet e. Coordination	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the r of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more co- ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
	$\overline{}$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
c. Substantial amount of documentary	r evidence f Substantial po	ostjudgment judicial supervision
Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.[Imprelary b. I normanalary a	declaratory or injunctive relief C 🗸 punitive
Number of causes of action (specify): 2	an de la commencia de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la	
This case is I is not a class	action will	
If there are any known related cases, file ar		may upo from CIA-015+
• •	a adiyo g nodo di Islame sapa. [fç# f	ady day total very and
ate: August 9, 2016	•	
odd M. Friedman	>	
(TYPE OR PRINT MANE)		LERCATURE OF PARTY OR ATTICEMENT FOR FARTH)
 Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the file under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Win sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover if this case is complex under rule 3.400 et a other porties to the action or proceeding. 	folfare and institutions Code), (Cal. Rule sheet required by local court rule.	es of Court, rule 3.220.) Fallure to file may rest
Unless this is a collections case under rule		1991
rm Adopted for Mandacory Live	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal Ruses of Court rices 2.39, 3.220, 3.420, 3.400-3.473, 3. Car. Standards of Judicis: Appringeration, stat.
dufriell Cound) of Collectus CLS-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	_	
	· ·	

Arrences Legalist, Inc. www.Formal/brakew.com

COPY

2016 AUG | AM | P: 47

LEGH-SUPERIOR COST

TAN DIEGO SOUNTY CA

Todd M. Friedman (216752)

Adrian R. Bacon (280332)

Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C.

21550 Oxnard St., Ste 780

Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Phone: 877-206-4741 Fax: 866-633-0228

3

4

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

15

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

tfriedman@toddflaw.com

abacon@toddflaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO LIMITED JURISDICTION

JOHN E. SUTPHEN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT SERVICE, INC.; DOES I-10 inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No. '16 CV2402 BEN JMA

COMPLAINT FOR

37-2016-00027505-CL-NP-CTL

- Violation of Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act
- Violation of Fair Debt Collection
 Practices Act

(Amount not to exceed \$10,000)

Jury Trial Demanded

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by Plaintiff JOHN E. SUTPHEN for Defendant's violations of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal Civ Code §1788, et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA") and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), both of which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

II. PARTIES

Plaintiff, JOHN E. SUTPHEN. ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in SAN DIEGO County in the state of California, and is a "consumer" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3) and is a "debtor" as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(h).

Complaint + 1

3. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT SERVICE, INC.. ("Defendant"), was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a "debt," as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5), and a "consumer debt," as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(f). Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another, and therefore is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and RFDCPA, Cal Civ Code §1788.2(c).

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 4. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiff regarding an alleged debt:
- 5. Around and before December 19, 2015 Plaintiff started receiving calls and letters from Defendant, attempting to collect an alleged debt. The alleged debt originated from an account Plaintiff previously held with Sprint. Plaintiff had closed the account with Sprint, and have paid off any remaining balances in full.
- 6. In response to Defendant's letters, Plaintiff requested validation of the alleged debt. However, Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with legitimate validation of the alleged debt from Plaintiff's late account with Sprint. Defendant's actions caused tremendous emotional stress to Plaintiff.
- 7. As a result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff retained counsel. A notice of representation was sent to Defendant on February 12, 2016. Defendant has failed to respond favorably, if at all, to this letter as of present.
- 8. Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA and RFDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:
 - a) Causing a telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy Plaintiff (Cal Civ Code § 1788.11(d));
 - b) Communicating, by telephone or in person, with Plaintiff with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute an harassment to Plaintiff under the circumstances (Cal Civ Code § 1788.11(e));

9. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA and RFDCPA, Plaintiff suffered and continue to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

25

26

27

28

Ĭ COUNT I: VIOLATION OF ROSENTHAL 2 FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 3 Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs. 10. 4 To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the RFDCPA, 11: 5 those actions were done knowingly and willfully. ħ PRAYER FOR RELIEF 7 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against Defendant 8 for the following: 9 Α. Actual damages; В. Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations; 10 Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and C. D. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper. 11 12 COUNT II: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT 13 COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 14 12. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs. 15 PRAYER FOR RELIEF į (s WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against Defendant 17 for the following: 18 A. Actual damages; Statutory damages; 19 В. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and, C. 20 For such other and further relief as may be just and proper. D. 21 PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY 22 23 Respectfully submitted this 9th day of August, 2016. 24 By: Todd M. Friedman, Esq. 7.5 Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. 26 Attorney for Plaintiff 27 28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Roxy Chipak, declare:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I am a citizen of the United States, am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to or interested in the within entitled cause. My business address is 740 University Avenue, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95825.

On September 23, 2016, I served the following document(s) on the parties in the within action:

DEFENDANT DIVERSIFIED ADJUSTMENT SERVICE, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT

10 11 12	X	VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE : The above-described document(s) will be delivered electronically through the Court's ECF/PACER electronic filing system, as stipulated by all parties to constitute personal service, to the following:
12	X	BY MAIL: I am familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of mail. The above-described document(s) will be enclosed in a sealed envelope, with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, and deposited with the United States Postal Service at Sacramento, CA on this date,
14		with the United States Postal Service at Sacramento, CA on this date, addressed as follows:

Todd Friedman Law Offices of Todd Friedman 21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 780 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Attorneys for Plaintiff, JOHN E. SUTPHEN

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is a true and correct statement and that this Certificate was executed on September 23, 2016.

27

28